Democracy Spirit in Islamic Thought: Insights from Classical and Contemporary Thinkers

Anum Gul
M.Phil, Islamic Studies, Fatima Jinnah Women University, Rawalpindi.
Email: gg8712979@gmail.com

Esha Fiaz
M.Phil, Islamic Studies, Fatima Jinnah Women University, Rawalpindi.
Email: esha.fiazahmad786@gmail.com

Abstract

This review paper explores the dynamic relationship between democratic principles and Islamic thought, drawing on insights from both classical and contemporary scholars. The paper synthesizes various perspectives on how Islamic ideology intersects with democratic values, focusing on Quranic teachings, Hadith, and the views of influential Islamic philosophers. By examining historical and modern developments, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the compatibility and tensions between Islam and democracy. The discussion highlights key areas of alignment and divergence, offering valuable insights for scholars and policymakers interested in the coexistence of these two systems. Overall, this research adds to our knowledge of the dynamic interaction between democratic values and Islamic philosophy, which enhances our discussion about how two complex systems may survive in a global setting

Keywords: Democracy, Islamic Ideology, Classical &Contemporary, Philosophy.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background to the Study

The relationship between Islam and democracy has been the subject of extensive scholarly debate and inquiry, reflecting both the historical complexities and the contemporary challenges faced by Muslim-majority societies in reconciling traditional religious principles with modern political frameworks. The crux of this debate revolves around whether the foundational texts and teachings of Islam—namely, the Quran and Hadith—can be harmonized with the principles of democracy, such as popular sovereignty, the rule of law, individual rights, and the participation of citizens in the decision-making process.

Islam, as a comprehensive way of life, encompasses not only spiritual and moral guidance but also principles related to governance and social justice. The Quran, regarded by Muslims as the ultimate source of divine guidance, includes several verses that emphasize justice, consultation (Shura), and the equitable treatment of individuals, which resonate with democratic values. Similarly, the Hadith literature, which records the sayings and practices

of the Prophet Muhammad, offers insights into leadership, governance, and the responsibilities of rulers toward their subjects, further enriching the discourse on the compatibility of Islam with democracy.

Historically, Islamic governance has been marked by a variety of models, from the early caliphates that sought to implement Quranic principles in governance, to the later empires that grappled with the complexities of administering diverse populations. The concept of Shura, as practiced during the time of the Prophet Muhammad and the early caliphs, is often cited as an example of an Islamic form of participatory governance. However, over time, the political realities of Muslim-majority societies have led to varied interpretations and implementations of these principles, often influenced by local customs, political exigencies, and, more recently, the impact of colonialism and Western political thought.

The advent of colonialism in the Muslim world introduced new challenges and opportunities for Islamic political thought. The imposition of Western political systems, including democracy, on colonized Muslim societies created tensions between traditional Islamic governance structures and the modern state apparatus. This period saw the rise of Islamic reformist movements that sought to reconcile Islamic principles with modern governance, leading to the development of various theories on the compatibility of Islam with democracy. Key figures in this intellectual tradition, such as Allama Iqbal, Syed Abu A'la Maududi, and later scholars like Khurshid Ahmad and Fethullah Gülen, have contributed significantly to the ongoing discourse on Islamic democracy.

1.2   Purpose of the Current Research

This review paper aims to synthesize the existing scholarship on the relationship between Islamic thought and democratic principles, with a particular focus on how classical and contemporary Islamic scholars have approached this complex issue. The purpose of this research is to provide a comprehensive overview of the key debates, insights, and perspectives that have shaped the understanding of democracy within the context of Islamic thought. By examining the foundational texts of Islam, as well as the contributions of influential Islamic thinkers, this paper seeks to elucidate the areas of convergence and divergence between Islam and democracy.

The paper also aims to explore the historical and contemporary contexts in which these ideas have evolved, with particular attention to how Muslim-majority societies have navigated the challenges of implementing democratic governance while remaining true to their religious principles. In doing so, this research contributes to the broader discourse on the compatibility of Islam with democracy, offering nuanced insights that can inform both academic inquiry and practical policy-making in Muslim-majority countries.

1.3 Research Objectives

To achieve the purpose outlined above, this review paper is guided by the following research objectives which leads to the formation of certain research questions which are answered in the current review paper.

1.   **To Examine the Foundational Islamic Texts**
o   How do the Quran and Hadith address concepts related to governance, justice, consultation, and the rights of individuals?

2.   **To Explore the Views of Classical Islamic Scholars**

o   How did early Islamic scholars, such as Al-Mawardi and Ibn Taymiyyah, conceptualize governance in light of Islamic teachings?

3.   **To Analyze the Contributions of Contemporary Islamic Thinkers**

o   How have modern Islamic scholars, such as Allama Iqbal, Syed Abu A'la Maududi, Khurshid Ahmad, and Fethullah Gülen, engaged with the concept of democracy?

4.   **To Assess the Historical and Contemporary Contexts**

o   How have historical events, such as colonialism and the rise of the nation-state, influenced the relationship between Islam and democracy?

5.   **To Identify Areas of Convergence and Divergence**

o   What are the key areas where Islamic thought and democratic principles converge, or show differences particularly concerning justice, consultation, and accountability?

By addressing these research questions, this paper aims to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the intersection between Islam and democracy. It seeks to offer valuable insights for scholars, policymakers, and religious leaders who are engaged in the ongoing efforts to harmonize Islamic values with the demands of modern democratic governance.

2.   Literature Review

2.1 Islamic Perspectives on Democracy

2.1.1 Classical Perspectives

The foundation of Islamic governance principles can be traced back to the early caliphates and the writings of influential Islamic scholars such as Al-Mawardi (d. 1058) and Ibn Taymiyyah (d. 1328). Al-Mawardi, in his seminal work *Al-Ahkam al-Sultaniyyah* (The Ordinances of Government), outlines the key responsibilities of the caliph (the Islamic ruler) and emphasizes the importance of Shura (consultation), justice, and the ruler's accountability to the people. Al-Mawardi asserts that a legitimate Islamic ruler must consult with qualified advisors, reflecting a form of collective decision-making that resonates with democratic principles. However, his model of governance is theocratic, with ultimate sovereignty resting in God, and the ruler acting as God's representative on Earth. This diverges from modern democracy, where sovereignty is typically vested in the people.

Ibn Taymiyyah, another pivotal figure, also emphasized the concept of justice as a cornerstone of governance. He argued that the ruler's legitimacy derives from his ability to uphold justice and adhere to Sharia (Islamic law). Ibn Taymiyyah was critical of absolute monarchies and advocated for a system where the ruler is constrained by religious laws and accountable to the community. His views on the importance of consultation and accountability align with democratic values, although, like Al-Mawardi, his framework is fundamentally theocratic.

2.1.2 Contemporary Perspectives

The 20th century witnessed a resurgence in Islamic political thought, driven by the challenges of colonialism, the formation of nation-states, and the encounter with Western political ideologies. Prominent figures such as Allama Iqbal, Syed Abu A'la Maududi, and Khurshid Ahmad sought to reinterpret Islamic governance principles in light of modern

realities.

Allama Iqbal (1877-1938) is often celebrated for his vision of a *"spiritual democracy,"* where the principles of freedom, equality, and fraternity are grounded in Islamic teachings. Iqbal rejected the idea of a secular democracy devoid of spiritual values, arguing instead for a form of governance that integrates the ethical and moral teachings of Islam with the democratic process. He envisioned a society where the individual's spiritual development was linked to their participation in collective decision-making, thus aligning with the democratic ideals of individual empowerment and community involvement.[1]

Syed Abu A'la Maududi (1903-1979) introduced the concept of "theo-democracy," a unique blend of Islamic governance and democratic principles. Maududi was critical of Western democracy, which he believed was rooted in secularism and materialism, but he did not reject the democratic process outright. Instead, he argued for a system where sovereignty belongs to God, but the people exercise a form of popular sovereignty under the guidance of Sharia. Maududi's theo-democracy envisioned a society where Islamic law provides the framework within which democratic processes operate, ensuring that governance remains aligned with divine principles.[2]

Khurshid Ahmad (b. 1932), a prominent Islamic scholar and politician, further developed Maududi's ideas, emphasizing that democracy and Islam are not inherently incompatible. Ahmad distinguished between democracy as a philosophy and democracy as a form of governance, arguing that while the former may be secular, the latter can be adapted to fit within an Islamic framework. He advocated for a democratic system that is informed by Islamic values, where the rule of law is grounded in Sharia, and governance is conducted through consultation (Shura) and accountability to both the people and God.[3]

Fethullah Gülen (b. 1938), a Turkish Islamic scholar, has also made significant contributions to the discourse on Islam and democracy. Gülen argues that Islam does not prescribe a specific form of government but provides principles that can be adapted to various contexts, including democratic governance. He emphasizes the importance of justice, human rights, and the moral responsibility of leaders, all of which are key components of democratic governance. Gülen's views reflect a more flexible approach to the integration of Islamic values with democratic principles, advocating for a governance model that is both responsive to the needs of the people and aligned with Islamic ethics.[4]

2.2   Quranic and Hadith Foundations

The Quran and Hadith serve as the primary sources of guidance for Muslims, providing comprehensive principles on governance, justice, and social relations. These principles have been central to the development of Islamic political thought and have been interpreted by scholars throughout history in the context of governance and law. This section explores the specific Quranic verses and Hadiths that are often cited in discussions on Islamic governance and their relevance to democratic values.

2.2.1 Quranic Foundations

The Quran, as the ultimate source of divine guidance in Islam, offers numerous verses that emphasize principles resonant with democratic governance, such as consultation (Shura), justice, equality, and accountability. These principles are foundational to Islamic governance

and have been interpreted as aligning with the ideals of democracy.

2.2.1.1 Consultation (Shura)
The Quran emphasizes the principle of Shura, or consultation, in governance and decision-making. The verse, *"And those who have responded to their Lord and established prayer and whose affair is [determined by] consultation among themselves, and from what We have provided them, they spend"*[5] (Quran 42:38), highlights the importance of mutual consultation in decision-making processes. This aligns with the democratic principle of participatory governance, where decisions are made collectively with input from the community. The concept of Shura is considered a critical element in Islamic governance, reflecting a form of deliberative democracy.

2.2.1.2 Justice and Equity
Justice is a cornerstone of both Islamic governance and democratic ideals. The Quranic verse, *"O you who have believed, be persistently standing firm in justice, witnesses for Allah, even if it be against yourselves or parents and relatives. Whether one is rich or poor, Allah is more worthy of both"*[6] (Quran 4:135), underscores the importance of upholding justice impartially. This verse calls for justice even when it conflicts with personal or familial interests, reflecting the democratic principle of the rule of law, where justice must be applied equally to all, regardless of status or wealth.

2.2.1.3 Right to Choose Leaders
The Quran also speaks to the concept of leadership and authority. The verse, *"And [mention, O Muhammad], when your Lord said to the angels, 'Indeed, I will make upon the earth a successive authority'*[7] (Quran 2:30), indicates that humans have been granted authority on earth, which includes the responsibility to choose their leaders. This aligns with the democratic concept of the right of the people to select their representatives and leaders through a process of collective decision-making.

2.2.1.4 Equality and Understanding
The Quran promotes the idea of human equality and mutual understanding. The verse, *"O mankind, indeed We have created you from male and female and made you peoples and tribes that you may know one another. Indeed, the most noble of you in the sight of Allah is the most righteous of you. Indeed, Allah is Knowing and Acquainted"*[8] (Quran 49:13), underlines the diversity of humankind and the purpose of this diversity for mutual recognition and understanding. This verse aligns with democratic values of diversity, equality, and mutual respect among all people, irrespective of their background.

2.2.1.5 Mutual Consultation
Another verse that reinforces the concept of Shura is, *"And consult them in the matter..."*[9] (Quran 3:159). This verse advises mutual consultation and consensus in decision-making processes, which is a fundamental aspect of democratic governance. It emphasizes the importance of involving the community in governance, ensuring that decisions are made through a process of deliberation and agreement.

2.2.1.6 Accountability
Accountability is a crucial element in both Islamic and democratic governance. The Quran states, *"You will surely be tested in your possessions and yourselves..."* [10] (Quran 3:186), emphasizing that individuals will be held accountable for their actions. This concept of accountability is integral to democratic governance, where leaders and institutions are responsible for their actions and decisions and must answer to the people.

2.2.1.7 Obedience and Unity
The Quran also highlights the importance of obedience and unity among believers. The verse, *"And obey Allah and His Messenger, and do not dispute and [thus] lose courage and [then] your strength would depart"* [11] (Quran 8:46), underscores the need for obedience to legitimate authority and the avoidance of disputes that could weaken the community. Unity and consensus are crucial aspects of democracy, where disagreements are resolved through dialogue and collective decision-making.

2.2.1.8 Freedom of Religion
The principle of freedom of religion is also present in the Quran, as reflected in the verse, *"There shall be no compulsion in [acceptance of] the religion. The right course has become clear from the wrong..."* [12] (Quran 2:256). This verse emphasizes that individuals should not be forced into accepting any religious belief, reflecting democratic principles of freedom and tolerance. It supports the idea that faith should be a personal choice, free from coercion, which is in line with democratic values that protect individual rights and freedoms.

2.2.2 Hadith Foundations
The Hadith, which documents the sayings and actions of the Prophet Muhammad, provides further guidance on governance and leadership in Islam. These Hadiths reinforce the principles outlined in the Quran and offer additional insights into the values that should guide Islamic governance.

2.2.2.1 Supremacy of Allah's Authority in Governance
The Hadith, *"The most wretched person in the sight of Allah on the Day of Resurrection and the worst person and target of His wrath would be the person who is called Malik al-Amlak (the King of Kings), for there is no king but Allah,"* [13] emphasizes the supremacy of Allah's authority in governance. This Hadith suggests that in Islamic governance, no human ruler can surpass Allah's authority, reinforcing the theocratic nature of Islamic governance where divine law supersedes human authority.

2.2.2.2 Significance of Just and Capable Leadership
Another Hadith emphasizes the importance of just and capable leadership: *"When your leaders are the best of you, the richest are the most generous among you, and your affairs are consulted among you, then the surface of the earth is better for you than its belly. And when your leaders are the worst of you, the richest are the stingiest among you, and your affairs are referred to your women, then the belly of the earth is better for you than its surface."* [14] This

Hadith underscores the significance of having leaders who are wise, just, and consultative, aligning with democratic ideals of informed and fair leadership.

2.2.2.3 Obedience to Rulers within Ethical Bounds
A well-known Hadith on obedience states, *"It is obligatory upon a Muslim that he should listen (to the ruler appointed over him) and obey him whether he likes it or not except that he is ordered to do a sinful thing. If he is ordered to do a sinful act, a Muslim should neither listen to him nor should he obey his orders."* [15] This Hadith emphasizes that obedience to authority is conditional upon the ruler's adherence to ethical and moral principles, reflecting the democratic notion that leaders must govern within the bounds of justice and law.

2.2.2.4 Obedience to Legitimate Rulers Regardless of Race
The Hadith narrated by Anas bin Malik, *"You should listen to and obey your ruler even if he was an Ethiopian (black) slave whose head looks like a raisin,"* [16] highlights the importance of obeying legitimate authority irrespective of the ruler's race or social status. This Hadith reinforces the principle of equality in leadership, which aligns with democratic values that advocate for equal treatment of all individuals regardless of their background.

2.2.2.5 Obedience to Authority without Disobeying Allah
Finally, the Hadith, *"There is no obedience to any human being if it involves disobedience to Allah,"* [17] underscores that obedience to human authority should never lead to disobedience to divine commandments. This principle reflects the ethical constraints on governance in Islam, where leaders must adhere to religious principles and cannot compel followers to act against their faith.

3   Discussion
The relationship between Islamic principles and democratic values is complex, characterized by both significant areas of alignment and critical points of divergence. This section explores these commonalities and differences, providing a nuanced understanding of the ways in which Islam and democracy intersect and where they may conflict. One of the most striking commonalities between Islamic principles and democratic values is the emphasis on justice. In both systems, justice is not merely a legal concept but a moral imperative that governs the behavior of individuals and institutions. The Quran explicitly mandates justice, as seen in verses like Quran 4:135, which calls on believers to be steadfast in upholding justice even against their own interests. This mirrors the democratic principle of the rule of law, where justice must be applied impartially and consistently, ensuring that all individuals are treated equally before the law.

Another area of convergence is the principle of consultation (Shura) in decision-making. As highlighted in Quran 42:38, consultation among community members is a key aspect of governance in Islam. This principle aligns closely with democratic ideals of participatory governance, where decisions are made through collective deliberation and the input of those affected by the decisions. The practice of Shura, as modeled by the Prophet Muhammad, is akin to the democratic process of deliberation and consensus-building, emphasizing the importance of including diverse voices in governance.

Accountability is also a shared value in both Islamic and democratic governance. In Islam, leaders are accountable not only to the people but ultimately to God. This dual accountability is reflected in the teachings of the Quran and Hadith, which stress the moral and ethical responsibilities of leaders. For example, the Hadith that obliges Muslims to obey their rulers unless they command sinful actions highlights the conditional nature of authority in Islam, where rulers are accountable for their adherence to Islamic principles. Similarly, in a democracy, leaders are accountable to the electorate, who have the power to remove them from office if they fail to govern justly. This principle of accountability ensures that leaders remain responsive to the needs and rights of the people they govern.

Despite these commonalities, there are also significant differences between Islamic principles and democratic values, particularly in areas such as the source of sovereignty, the role of religious law, and the rights of women and non-Muslims.

One of the most fundamental differences lies in the concept of sovereignty. In a democracy, sovereignty resides with the people, who have the right to choose their leaders and shape their government through elections and other forms of political participation. This idea of popular sovereignty is a cornerstone of democratic governance, ensuring that the government derives its legitimacy from the consent of the governed. In contrast, Islamic governance is based on the principle of divine sovereignty, where ultimate authority belongs to God. Leaders are seen as stewards of this divine authority, and their legitimacy is derived from their adherence to Islamic law (Sharia). This difference in the source of sovereignty is a key point of divergence, with some Islamic scholars, such as Maududi, attempting to reconcile it through concepts like "theo-democracy," where popular sovereignty is exercised within the bounds of Sharia.

Another significant difference is the role of religious law in governance. In Islamic governance, Sharia is not just a moral or ethical guide but the foundation of the legal system. It governs all aspects of life, including politics, economics, and social relations. This is in contrast to democratic systems, where the rule of law is typically secular and based on a constitution or legal code that is separate from religious doctrine. The integration of Sharia into the legal and political framework of a state can create tensions in contexts where democratic principles such as freedom of religion, gender equality, and individual rights are emphasized. These tensions are particularly evident in debates over the rights of women and non-Muslims in Islamic governance.

The rights of women present another area of divergence between Islamic principles and democratic values. While Islam grants women specific rights and protections, traditional interpretations of Sharia have often led to gender disparities in areas such as inheritance, testimony, and leadership roles. In many democratic systems, gender equality is a fundamental principle, with women enjoying the same rights and opportunities as men. The challenge lies in reconciling these democratic principles with interpretations of Islamic law that may limit women's rights. Some contemporary Islamic scholars and reformers have sought to address these disparities by reinterpreting Sharia in ways that are more consistent with gender equality, but this remains a contentious issue in many Muslim-majority societies.

The rights of non-Muslims are also a point of contention. In Islamic governance, non-Muslims are often granted the status of "dhimmi," a protected minority with certain rights and obligations. However, this status can also impose restrictions on their religious and civil

liberties, particularly in matters of legal testimony, political participation, and religious practice. In contrast, democratic systems typically guarantee equal rights and protections to all citizens, regardless of their religious affiliation. The challenge for Islamic governance is to ensure that non-Muslims are treated with justice and equality while maintaining the integrity of Islamic law.

The insights gained from analyzing the commonalities and differences between Islamic principles and democratic values are highly relevant in today's globalized world. As Muslim-majority societies continue to grapple with the challenges of modern governance, the integration of democratic principles with Islamic values remains a critical issue. One of the key areas of contemporary relevance is the role of Shura in modern governance. The principle of consultation offers a framework for incorporating democratic practices within an Islamic context. By institutionalizing Shura in political systems, Muslim-majority countries can create a form of participatory governance that is both responsive to the needs of the people and aligned with Islamic values. This could involve the establishment of consultative councils or parliaments that operate within the framework of Sharia, allowing for collective decision-making while ensuring that governance remains grounded in Islamic principles.

The emphasis on justice and accountability also has significant implications for contemporary governance. In many Muslim-majority countries, issues such as corruption, authoritarianism, and human rights abuses have undermined public trust in government. By drawing on Islamic teachings that stress the moral and ethical responsibilities of leaders, these societies can work towards creating governance structures that are more transparent, accountable, and just. The integration of democratic mechanisms such as free elections, judicial independence, and civil society participation with Islamic principles can help to promote good governance and protect the rights of all citizens.

However, the challenges of integrating democratic principles with Islamic values should not be underestimated. Issues such as the interpretation of Sharia, the role of women in governance, and the rights of non-Muslims continue to be areas of tension that require careful consideration and dialogue. The ongoing efforts of Islamic scholars, policymakers, and religious leaders to address these challenges will be crucial in shaping the future of governance in Muslim-majority societies.

The contemporary relevance of these issues is also reflected in the broader global discourse on democracy and religion. As more countries and communities grapple with questions of identity, governance, and human rights, the insights from Islamic political thought can offer valuable perspectives on how to balance religious values with democratic principles. By engaging with these insights, both Muslim-majority and non-Muslim societies can contribute to a more inclusive and pluralistic understanding of democracy, one that respects the diversity of cultural and religious traditions while upholding the core principles of justice, equality, and human dignity.

4.   Conclusion

This review paper has examined the essence of democratic principles within Islamic thought, drawing insights from both classical and contemporary thinkers. The investigation has highlighted the multifaceted nature of democracy as conceptualized in Islamic traditions and its relevance to modern political discourse.

4.1 Summary of Key Findings
The research elucidates that the spirit of democracy in Islamic thought is not a monolithic or static concept but rather a dynamic interplay of religious, historical, and philosophical factors. Classical thinkers such as Al-Farabi and Ibn Khaldun articulated early notions of political participation and governance that resonate with democratic ideals. Al-Farabi's vision of the virtuous city and Ibn Khaldun's sociopolitical theory underscore a nuanced understanding of governance that incorporates elements of consultation, justice, and public welfare.
Contemporary scholars have expanded upon these foundations, exploring how Islamic principles can be reconciled with modern democratic frameworks. The adaptation of democratic values in contemporary Islamic societies reflects a blend of traditional principles and current political realities. This synthesis has led to innovative interpretations of democracy that address contemporary challenges while remaining rooted in Islamic ethics.

4.2 Significance of the Research
The exploration of democratic spirit in Islamic thought provides a critical perspective on how Islamic values can contribute to the global discourse on democracy. By bridging classical and contemporary viewpoints, the research underscores the adaptability of Islamic political philosophy and its potential to influence modern governance structures. This contributes to a deeper understanding of how democratic principles can be integrated into diverse cultural and religious contexts.

4.3 Implications for Future Research
While this review has illuminated significant aspects of democracy in Islamic thought, several areas warrant further exploration. Future research could delve into comparative studies between Islamic and non-Islamic democratic theories to uncover additional synergies and divergences. Additionally, empirical studies assessing the practical application of democratic principles in various Islamic societies could provide valuable insights into the real-world implications of these philosophical concepts.
Exploring the role of new media and technology in shaping democratic engagement within Islamic contexts could also yield interesting findings. As societies continue to evolve, understanding how digital platforms impact political participation and public discourse in Islamic settings will be crucial for a comprehensive analysis of democratic practices.
In conclusion, the democratic spirit within Islamic thought represents a rich and evolving dialogue between tradition and modernity. By revisiting classical ideas and engaging with contemporary interpretations, this research offers a valuable contribution to the discourse on democracy and Islamic philosophy. The ongoing dialogue between these perspectives promises to enrich our understanding of democratic governance and its application across different cultural and religious landscapes.

References

[1] Tauseef Ahmad Parray, *"Democracy in Islam: The Views of Several Modern Muslim Scholars,"* The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences. / Beg, Poet, *339.*

[2] Syed Abu A`la Mawdudi, *Islami Riyasat* (Islamic State), (New Delhi:Islamic Book Foundation, *1991).*

[3] Ahmad, *"Islam and Democracy,"* 19.

[4] Fethullah Gülen, *"A Comparative Approach to Islam and Democracy,"* In The New Voices of Islam: Reforming Politics and Modernity: A Reader, ed. Mehran Kamrava (New York and London: I.B. Tauris, *2006*)

[5] Quran, *Surah Ash-Shuraa: 42, Ayaat: 38.*

[6] Quran, *Surah An-Nisa: 4, Ayaat: 135.*

[7] Quran, *Surah Al-Baqara: 2, Ayaat: 30.*

[8] Quran, *Surah Al-Hujurat: 49, Ayaat: 13.*

[9] Quran, *Surah Ali-Imran: 3, Ayaat: 159.*

[10] Quran, *Surah Ali-Imran: 3, Ayaat: 186.*

[11] Quran, *Surah Al-Anfal: 8, Ayaat: 46.*

[12] Quran, *Surah Al-Baqara: 2, Ayaat: 256.*

[13] Abu Al-Husayn Muslim ibn Al-Hajjaj, *Sahih al-Muslim*, Book *38*: Manners and Etiquette, Chapter *04*: The Prohibition Of The Names Malik Al-Amlak Or Malik Al-Muluk "King Of Kings", Hadith *2143.*

[14] Muhammad ibn-Isma'il Bukhari, *Sahih Al-Bukhari,* Book *65*: Prophetic Commentary on the Qur'an, Statement 03: Allah the Most High, Hadith *4812.*

[15] Abu Al-Husayn Muslim ibn Al-Hajjaj, *Sahih al-Muslim*, Book *33:* Government, Chapter *04*: The obligation of obeying leaders in matters that do not involve sin, but it is forbidden to obey them in sinful matters, Hadith *1839.*

[16] Muhammad ibn-Isma'il Bukhari, *Sahih Al-Bukhari*, Book *93*: Judgements (Ahkaam), Chapter 04: To listen to and obey the Imam, Hadith *7142.*

[17] Imam Ahmad bin Hanbal, *Musnad Ahmad,* Chapter: Musnad Ali ibn-abi Talib, Hadith *1065.*